

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,612	06/29/2001	Barney J. Auman	PAUMAB-CT	6798
7590 10/22/2003			EXAMINER	
FEHR LAW FIRM			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
Suite 300 Goldenwest Corporate Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5025 Adams Avenue			1732	<u> </u>
Ogden, UT 84403			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	\times

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	A
U	

Application No. 09/895,612

Applicant(s)

Oπice Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 1732
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	n the cover sheet beneath th correspondence address –
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution	
Status	(2.0.) 3
Responsive to communication(s) filed on AUG.	, 208 5
This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) /- 25	is/are pending in the application.
•	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s) / - 2 5	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	••
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re-	eived.
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Application No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	ave been received
in this national stage application from the International	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
*Certified copies not received:	
Atta hment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	
Notice of R ference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-1
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948	□ Oth r
Office Ac	n Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/895,612

Art Unit: 1732

1.A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2.Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-24 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,579,481. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3.The amendment filed August 5, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the aspect beginning at line 2 of page 4 that "(e)lastomers are placed inside a mold that is rotated about multiple different axes, preferably but not necessarily, two orthogonal axes to assure that the elastomers cover the entire inside surface of the mold".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

4.Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The aspect in claim 25 of "commencing rotation of

. Art Unit: 1732

the mold about two orthogonal axes either before or after introduction of the elastomer" lacks support in the disclosure as filed.

5.Applicant's arguments filed August 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the word "rotate" has different meanings in the two applications (now this application and Pat. No. 6,579,481). Applicant then asserts that it is generally known that claims are interpreted in light of the specification and then notes a distinction between portions of the instant specification and the specification of 6,579,481. This is not persuasive because (1) claims are given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification, but limitations in the specification are not read into the claims, and (2) the distinction between portions of the instant specification and that of the '481 patent were created by the insertion of "new matter" into the instant specification.

6.Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/895,612 Page 4

. Art Unit: 1732

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is 703-308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU / 732

10-9-03

Illa R. Kula